

Document: Response to comments on the QAPP
Date of RTC Check: 3/13/2018

Comment Number	Did Response Address Comment?	Confirmed Change in QAPP?
<i>Primary Comments</i>		
1	Yes	Pending review
2	Yes	Pending review: change needs to be checked in the FSP for surface sediment sampling
3	Yes	Pending review
4	No. The QAPP should be revised to directly reference, or incorporate the Pre-RD AOC Work Plan language on this data use.	Pending review
5	Yes	Pending review
6	No. Need the Pre-RD Group to confirm they have reviewed and will adopt the summing rules provided	Pending review
7	Yes	Pending review
8	Yes	Pending review
9	Yes	Pending review
10	Yes	Pending review
11	Yes	Pending review
12	Yes	Pending review
13	Yes	Pending review
14	Yes	Pending review
15	Yes	Pending review
16	Yes	Pending review
17	Yes	No change needed
18	Yes	Pending review and review of the revised surface sediment sampling FSP.
19	No. The draft QAPP is inconsistent with the Pre-RD AOC Work Plan and the response does not correct the inconsistency. The QAPP should be revised to be consistent with language in the Pre-RD AOC Work Plan - see Alt F Mod Active SMA Footprint language listed in Table 8.	Pending review
20	No. The draft QAPP is inconsistent with the Pre-RD AOC Work Plan and the response does not correct the inconsistency. The QAPP should be revised to be consistent with Concentration Changes Over Time (focused COCs) listed in Table 8 of the Pre-RD AOC Work Plan.	Pending review
21	Yes	Pending review
22	Yes	Pending review
23	Yes	No change needed
24	Yes	Pending review
25	Section 4.1.2: This section states that "It is expected that any newly collected surface sediment sample, if collected within a reasonable distance of an older sample, would replace the older data for the purposes of SMA refinement." This statement must be struck as it differs from the PDI Work Plan which states that the new SMA delineation dataset "will be used for the purposes of refining the SMA footprints and technology selections described in the ROD" (Geosyntec 2017). A lines of evidence approach must be employed before older data is eliminated during SMA refinement.	NA
<i>To be Considered Comments</i>		
1	Yes	Pending review
2	Yes	Pending review
3	Yes	Pending review
4	Yes	Pending review
5	Yes	Pending review
6	Yes	Pending review
7	Yes	Pending review and review of the revised surface sediment sampling FSP.
8	Yes	Pending review
9	Yes	Pending review
10	Yes	No change needed
11	Yes	No change needed
12	Yes	Pending review
13	Yes	Pending review
14	Yes	Pending review
15	Yes	Pending review
16	Yes	Pending review
17	Yes	Pending review
18	Yes	Pending review
19	Yes	Pending review
20	Yes	Pending review
21	Yes	Pending review
22	Yes	Pending review
23	Yes	Pending review
<i>Matters of Style Comments</i>		
1	Yes	Pending review
2	Yes	Pending review
3	Yes	Pending review
4	Yes	Pending review
5	Yes	No change needed
6	Yes	Pending review
7	Yes	Pending review
8	Yes	Pending review

Response is adequate pending review of updated QAPP

Indicates a Conditional Approval need and callout for extra attention to the RLSO in the FSP and/or QAPP

Not responsive and needs correction